




The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court incorporates such without a recitation.

The plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation, which was entered on the docket on April 22, 2014. However, the plaintiff did not file objections and the time within which to do so has now expired. In the absence of specific objections to the Report of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Magistrate Judge has allowed the plaintiff time to respond to the court's various orders and the plaintiff has failed to do so. This court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that the plaintiff meets all of the criteria for dismissal under Rule 41(b). *See Ballard v. Carlson*, 882 F.2d 93 (4th Cir. 1989), *Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69 (4th Cir. 1978).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed with prejudice for lack of prosecution. The Clerk shall docket the defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 25) as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Joseph F. Anderson, Jr.  
United States District Judge

May 13, 2014  
Columbia, South Carolina